

As a follow-up to a customer and stakeholder meeting on February 21 and second round of comments, we've posted an updated comment summary (which includes the second round of comments), futures matrix and PROMOD input assumptions. We appreciate everyone's input to date. In some cases stakeholder input varied significantly. We tried to capture most if not all of the input by the bounding ranges that were selected for the various futures. Some of the input assumptions, such as generation expansion plans, come from MISO's MTEP09 model development process. Based on some of the comments, certain input assumptions were unclear and an attempt was made to rearrange the table to make them clearer.

Based on the input we have received and additional research, we are considering analyzing the following projects:

- Kenosha—Lakeview—Zion 138 kV
- North La Crosse—Hilltop—Spring Green—West Middleton 345 kV
- Salem—Spring Green—West Middleton 345 kV

Kenosha—Lakeview—Zion 138 kV is proposed for further analysis because it is not scheduled for upgrade due to reliability and/or generator interconnection needs and is showing up as a constraint now and in 2016. Other constraints, such as Albers—Bain and Ellington—Hintz, have upgrade projects associated with them.

We will finalize the assumptions and project list by May 15. We will hold another stakeholder meeting after this date. Please forward comments on to Terry Peterson, tpeterson@atcllc.com, no later than Wednesday, April 30.

This Web site and/or OASIS will continue to show meeting notices, minutes, analyses and updates.