

ATC Economic and Reliability Planning November 2 Stakeholder Meeting: Follow Up Questions and Responses

Summary: On November 2nd ATC held a customer and stakeholder meeting. ATC received feedback and questions during the meeting. This document compiles all of the feedback and questions, as well as providing a response or answer.

Q1: Who is the MISO contact for pursuing G-T development?

A1: The MISO contact for pursuing G-T development is Paul Muncy.

Phone: (317) 249-5632

Email: pmuncy@misoenergy.org

Q2: Newspaper articles reported that the Riverside Projects ATC has proposed to interconnect the new generating plant would cost 60-80 M\$ and the length of the new 345-kV line would be 4.5-6 miles long. Is this correct and was that cost included in the 2016 10-Year Assessment capital forecast?

A2: The 60-80 M\$ cost includes new 345-kV substation facilities and a new 345-kV double circuit line (route and length to be determined). More information can be found at <http://www.atc-projects.com/projects/riverside-transmission-line-project/>. A preliminary cost was included in the 2016 10-Year Assessment total capital forecast.

Q3: What's the MTEP Project ID for the Arcadian – Waukesha project?

A3: There is a short term uprate project, which has an MTEP PID of 12463 and a long term re-conductor project which has an MTEP PID of 1270.

Q4: The weather normalized curve provided in the study design presentation is different than the weather normalized curve provided in the April 2016 Stakeholder meeting, why is this?

A4: The model that produces the weather normalized curve is updated annually using the latest historic economic data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis. During this update model coefficients and historical economic data, along with other inputs, that are used to produce the weather normalized curve can change. The changed inputs in turn change the weather normalized curve that is produced. The latest data results in a slight increase in the normalized summer peak loads.

Q5: Where is information regarding the modeling of generation expansion and retirement in MISO MTEP models?

A5: Information can be found on the MISO webpage.

- MTEP16 Data - <https://www.misoenergy.org/Events/Pages/PAC20150819.aspx> (scroll to the bottom of the page to find the following)
 - o [20150819 PAC MTEP16 Supply Side EI Resources Updated](#) (excel spreadsheet)
 - o [20150819 PAC Supplemental DRAFT RRF Siting](#) (.zip file containing maps)
 - o <https://www.misoenergy.org/Events/Pages/PAC20150729.aspx>
 - [20150729 PAC Item 03c MTEP16 EGEAS Results](#) (.pdf with overview of siting and retirement)

- MTEP17 Data - <https://www.misoenergy.org/Events/Pages/PAC20160921.aspx> (scroll to the bottom of the page to find the following)
 - o [20160921 PAC Item 02c MTEP17 Futures Resource Forecast Results](#) (.pdf with overview of siting and maps)
 - o [20160921 PAC Supplemental DRAFT MTEP17 Futures RRF Siting](#) (excel spreadsheet)
 - o [20160921 PAC Supplemental DRAFT MTEP17 Unit Retirement Assumptions](#) (excel spreadsheet)