

# Methodology

	Department:	System Planning and Strategic Projects
	Document No:	PLG-METH-0002-V2
	Issue Date:	August12, 2013
	Previous Date:	September. 27, 2012 (PLG-METH-0002-V1)

Title: Transmission Planning Assessment Practices

#### **SCOPE**

This document describes ATC's system planning criteria to plan, design, build and operate its transmission system in a safe, reliable and economic manner to meet the needs of its customers while maintaining compliance with NERC standards. This criteria applies to the ATC transmission system operated at 69 kV and above.

This document may be revised from time to time in response to changes in industry standards, new system conditions, new technologies and new operating procedures, as appropriate. The criteria described below will be subject to change at any time at ATC's discretion. Situations that could precipitate such a change could include, but are not limited to, new system conditions, extraordinary events, safety issues, operation issues, maintenance issues, customer requests, regulatory requirements and Regional Entity or NERC requirements.

Approved By: Ron Snead

Signed original on file

Prepared By: Shane Ehster, et alia

Page 2 of 19

1. I	Introduction					
	Capacity Benefit Margin Methodology					
	Transmission Reliability Margin Methodology					
	Facility Rating Methodology					
5. N	Model Building Methodology	8				
5.1	1. Voltage Schedule	8				
5.2	2. Generation Dispatch	8				
5.3	3. Net Scheduled Interchange	9				
5.4	4. Dynamic Load Modeling	9				
6. F	Facility Condition Methodology	10				
7. F	Planning Zones	11				
8. 8	System Alternatives	11				
9. L	Load Forecasting Methodology	11				
9.1	1 Summer Peak	12				
9.2	2 Shoulder peak	12				
9.3	3 Winter Peak	12				
9.4	4 Fall/spring Off-Peak	12				
9.5	5 Summer 90/10 Proxy Peak	12				
9.6	S Light Load (50 Percent of Summer Peak)	13				
9.7	7 Minimum Load (40 Percent of Summer Peak)	13				
10. E	Economic Methodology	13				
11. E	Environmental Methodology	14				
12. (	Other Considerations	14				
12.	.1. Project Constructability	14				
12.	2.2. Multiple Contingency Planning	14				
12.	.3. Terminal Equipment Limitations	15				
12.	.4. Maximization of Existing Rights-of-Way	15				
12.	.5. Reduction of Transmission System Losses	15				
12.	.6. Transmission System Operating Considerations in the Planning Process	16				
12.	2.7. Radial Transmission Service	16				
12.	.8. Relaxation of Criteria	16				
12.	.9. Steady State Voltage Stability Margin Identification	17				
12.	2.10. Operations Assumptions for Three Phase Fault Scenarios	17				
13 I	Interconnection Studies	17				
13.	3.1 Types of Analysis1					
13.	5.2 Compliance with Applicable Planning Criteria	18				

#### ATC PLANNING METHODOLOGY

#### **PLN-METH-0002-V2**

Transmission Planning Assessment Practices Page 3 of			
13.3	Coordination with Affected Entities	18	
13.4	Essential Documentation	18	
13.5	Specific Study Methodologies	18	
14 Un	nder-Frequency Load Shedding (UFLS)	18	
15. Re	eferences	19	
16. Ad	Iministration	19	
16.1	Review	19	
16.2	Retention	19	
17 Ro	avision History	10	

Page 4 of 19

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

American Transmission Company (ATC) generally subscribes to the zone approach to transmission planning assessment using a multi-level planning concept. Diagrams of the planning zones for which regional plans have been developed by ATC are attached in the response to Part 3 of FERC Form 715 and show the existing transmission facilities, 100 kV and above, within ATC's transmission system.

The concept behind the zone approach to transmission planning is to develop plans that consider all of the needs, limitations and developments within each zone and develop an overall plan for the zone (that is, a plan that emphasizes projects that serve multiple purposes or solve multiple limitations within the zone). In addition, ATC's transmission planning philosophies incorporate the concept of multi-level transmission planning. When carrying out a comprehensive transmission planning process, consideration must be given not only to transmission needs, zone needs, and ATC-wide needs, but also to plans of other transmission providers. Solutions identified via planning activities within each level are vetted against those in adjacent levels until the most effective overall comprehensive plan is developed. ATC's planning process will continue to develop the first three levels (individual, zone, ATC-wide). ATC is participating with other Transmission Owners, such as ComEd, DPC, NSP, and ITC, within and affected by MISO territory in assessing regional needs.

ATC employs the long-standing practice of using power flow analysis to identify needs and limitations and to evaluate alternative mitigation measures. ATC identifies limitations and needs by simulating non-simultaneous and selected simultaneous outages of each line, transformer, bus section, and generator. ATC does implement operating guides, such as opening lines and bus sections, to mitigate limitations (overloads, low voltages, etc.) during extreme flow conditions.

ATC also conducts dynamic stability analyses within each of its zones to assess the ability of its system to withstand power system disturbances. Many of these analyses have been or are being conducted in conjunction with proposed generation interconnections. Other independent analyses are being conducted to assess dynamic and/or voltage stability performance.

ATC develops transmission projects to address the congestion issues in its footprint and beyond. ATC uses the PROMOD model to analyze congestion across the ATC footprint and surrounding systems and develops projects that will relieve the congestion.

Further, ATC works with neighboring transmission owners, stakeholders and MISO to develop transmission projects that provide multiple benefits including reliability, economic and public policy benefits. These projects are often more strategic and regional in nature to help provide benefit to multiple areas as well as maintain reliability in the ATC footprint well into the future. These projects are evaluated using traditional reliability planning tools, PROMOD for economic benefits and a combination of traditional first contingency

Page 5 of 19

incremental transfer capability (FCITC) analysis and economic analysis to quantify their public policy benefits.

As part of MISO, ATC participates in the MISO Transmission Expansion Planning (MTEP) process. ATC participates actively in all portions of MISO's planning efforts, including numerous committees and task forces, in regional and economic study efforts and in development of the MTEP.

ATC solicits public and other stakeholder input on the identification of ultimate solutions through its iterative planning process. Projects may be modified as potential solutions listed in this plan and are further developed to address the specific needs identified by all stakeholders. The solutions selected to address the needs and limitations identified will reflect the input of transmission planning process stakeholders, including customers, state and local officials, the public, and coordination with other planning processes, to the extent possible.

Specific opportunities for public and stakeholder participation in the planning process are provided in accordance with ATC's tariff Attachment FF filed at FERC in response to the portion of FERC's Order 890 and 1000 calling for open, inclusive and transparent planning processes. The order was approved conditionally and, after a compliance filing by ATC, it was approved in August 2010. ATC's Attachment FF covers six separate planning processes and the opportunities stakeholders have to participate in the processes. The six planning processes include:

- 1) Network adequacy planning
- 2) Economic project planning
- 3) Generation-transmission interconnections
- 4) Transmission-distribution interconnections
- 5) Transmission-transmission interconnections
- 6) Transmission service requests

Provisions include opportunities for stakeholders to provide input to the planning processes in terms of assumptions and projects, providing review of interim results and examination of final results.

ATC participates in regional transmission assessments conducted by the Midwest Reliability Organization (MRO) Transmission Assessment Subcommittee (TAS), the RFC Transmission Performance Subcommittee (TPS), the ERAG Reliability Assessments and MISO Reliability Assessments.

In addition to the planning criteria, ATC considers a number of other factors in its transmission planning process. Following is a description of such factors.

#### 2. CAPACITY BENEFIT MARGIN METHODOLOGY

Capacity Benefit Margin (CBM) is the amount of firm transmission transfer capability preserved to enable access by Load Serving Entities (LSEs) to generation from interconnected systems to meet generation reliability requirements, such as meeting firm load obligations during a capacity emergency. Preservation of CBM for an LSE allows that entity to reduce its installed generating capacity below that which may otherwise have been necessary without interconnections to meet its generation reliability requirements. The transmission transfer capability preserved as CBM is intended to be used by the LSE only in times of emergency generation deficiencies.

As in MISO planning studies, ATC planning studies (other than the flow based analysis required for MISO transmission service studies) will not model CBM. CBM is instead accommodated by ensuring that zones have the necessary emergency import capability through Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE) studies performed by MISO and governed by the obligations of the MISO Module E of Energy Markets Tariff (EMT). If a deficiency is identified, any resulting incremental import capability requirements will be incorporated into ATC's overall transmission expansion plan.

MISO performs annual LOLE studies to determine the installed planning reserve margin that would result in the MISO system experiencing one loss of load event every ten years on average. This equates to a yearly LOLE value of 0.1 days per year. This value is determined through analysis using the GE Multi-Area Reliability Simulation (MARS) software. PROMOD software is used to perform a security constrained economic dispatch analysis which determines congestion related zones which are used in the MARS modeling. This analysis occurs on an annual basis to determine the zones and planning reserve margin for the next planning year as well as two other analysis years in the tenyear horizon.

As part of the LOLE studies, MISO calculates the Generation Capability Import Requirement (GCIR) for each zone. An import level equal to the GCIR level for each zone is simulated, and the MW impacts on each defined flowgate are recorded. For each flowgate, the highest MW impact due to a GCIR import into a zone becomes the calculated CBM for that flowgate.

Then, for each flowgate MISO compares the flowgate's calculated CBM to the Automatic Reserve Sharing (ARS) component of the Transmission Reliability Margin (TRM) for that same flowgate. Since the worst case loss of a single resource is already covered by the ARS component of TRM, this amount of capacity is not redundantly preserved as part of CBM. If the ARS component is greater than the calculated CBM, no CBM will be preserved on that flowgate. If the ARS component is less than the calculated CBM, the incremental amount of CBM that is needed above the ARS component will be preserved as CBM for that flowgate.

#### 3. TRANSMISSION RELIABILITY MARGIN METHODOLOGY

TRM is the amount of transmission transfer capability necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the interconnected transmission network will be secure during changing system conditions, particularly during Reserve Sharing events such as the loss of a critical single unit. TRM accounts for the inherent uncertainty in system conditions and the need for operating flexibility to ensure reliable system operation as system conditions change.

In the planning horizon, anytime beyond 48 hours, MISO uses reservations from other transmission providers and Balancing Authority generation dispatch to reduce uncertainty. MISO will apply a 2 percent reduction in normal and emergency ratings for input uncertainties in the planning horizon. This is often referred to as the uncertainty component of the TRM.

The ARS component of TRM is the amount of transmission transfer capability required on a flowgate to deliver contingency reserves. These contingency reserves are defined as 100 percent of the impact of the greatest single contingency impacting the flowgate. The worst single contingency is determined by tripping units (or transmission elements) within the region and replacing the lost resource with a realistic dispatch for each reserve sharing member's share of the emergency energy. The worst case is the case that has the greatest incremental flow across the flowgate. The highest incremental flow on the flowgate for the contingencies evaluated (generation and transmission) will be the amount of ARS TRM required.

MISO uses the summation of the ARS and 2 percent uncertainty components of TRM in the network analysis for Long-Term Transmission Service Requests. Please reference the MISO Transmission Reliability Margin Identification (TRMID) methodology for a description of the application of TRM to all Transmission Service Requests. Other ATC planning studies screen at a 3 percent reduction in normal and emergency ratings for assessments within one year and a 5 percent reduction for the assessments beyond one year in the future, except for studies that consider a wide range of system conditions (e.g., load, dispatch, transfers), such as 10-year assessments. MISO generator interconnection studies shall utilize a 5 percent reduction in normal and emergency ratings for all facilities inside the ATC footprint. The recommended timing of the resultant mitigation measures may be based on less than the 3 percent and 5 percent reductions.

#### 4. FACILITY RATING METHODOLOGY

ATC maintains criteria to establish ratings for substation equipment, overhead transmission lines, and underground transmission lines for use in planning and operating the ATC network. These criteria are applied to all components and elements of the ATC network and facility ratings are determined and managed in the Substation Equipment and Line Database (SELD) application. Procedures are in place to govern the application of those criteria and the process for updating the facility ratings database for modifications to existing facilities and the addition of new facilities. For some non-Bulk Electric System facilities, ATC continues to use ratings from the previous transmission facility owner's

Page 8 of 19

planning and operations models. ATC is actively reviewing these facilities and applying ATC ratings criteria.

(Applicable NERC Standards: FAC-008-1-R1)

#### 5. MODEL BUILDING METHODOLOGY

ATC will strive to develop and maintain consistency in the power flow models used for planning efforts and in assessing whether and under what conditions transmission service is available. The starting point for ATC power flow models will be NERC and Regional models. ATC will use load forecasts provided by the company's end-use load-serving customers as input into future model building efforts, both internally and in conjunction with NERC, Regional Entity (RE), and Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) initiatives. These forecasts may be adjusted by ATC if adjustments are needed for transmission planning purposes either with concurrence from the company's customers or independently of the company's customers. All ATC power flow models will be developed using PTI PSS/E software.

(Applicable NERC Standards: MOD-010-0, MOD-011, MOD-012-0)

## 5.1. Voltage Schedule

- 1) The power flow models will implement ATC's generator voltage schedule. The generator voltage schedule is defined as a:
  - a. Target voltage of 102% of the nominal transmission voltage as measured at the point of interconnection between the generator and the transmission network unless another voltage schedule has been identified.
  - b. Normal voltage range of 95% to 105% of nominal transmission voltage.

Due to limitations imposed by the NERC model building process, the generator voltage schedule target modeled in the NERC power flow models may only approximate ATC's voltage schedule at the point of interconnection.

(Applicable NERC Standard: VAR-001)

2) Generators that do not have automatic voltage regulation (AVR) or are not controllable (unmanned stations and no remote control) have been considered. When modeling these generators, special attention must be given to the limitations of these units.

### 5.2. Generation Dispatch

## 5.2.1 General Dispatch Methodology

- 1) Generation reported by ATC's members will be dispatched in accordance with contractual and local or regional economic dispatch considerations as applicable.
- 2) Designated Network Resources will be dispatched out of merit order if they have been identified as Voltage and Local Reliability units (VLR) by MISO in a standing Operating Guide. Units should be dispatched in accordance with the standing Operating Guide.

## 5.2.2 Distribution Connected Generation

Distribution connected generation (DCG) will be modeled according to the "ATC Generator Modeling Decision Methodology" which is accessible on ATC's external website.

## 5.2.3 Wind Generation Dispatch Methodology

- 1) Power-Voltage (P-V) analysis shall model wind generation at its full output level.
- 2) Generator Interconnection studies will model wind generation following the guidelines in the MISO Business Practice Manual for Generator Interconnections.
- 3) Generally, for each system load condition case, wind generation is modeled at 20 percent of its reported capability level for general planning studies, although sensitivity analyses may dispatch wind generators at various output levels.

## 5.2.4 <u>Hydro Generation Dispatch Methodology:</u>

- 1) The summer peak *Pmax* dispatch levels have been reflected in the powerflow models unit *Pmax* capability. In some instances *Pmax* may not be equal to rated power.
- 2) If documented typical summer peak dispatch information is available it may be used, provided it does not exceed the latest available Generator Owner's MOD-024 test data.
- 3) If documented typical summer peak dispatch information is not available then a default dispatch of 30%<sup>2</sup> of unit rated power will be applied. If the 30% of unit rated power value exceeds the MOD-024 test data then the unit will be dispatched to the *Pmax* derived from the Generator Owner's MOD-024 test data. If the 30% of unit rated power value is less than the MOD-010 *Pmin* data for the unit, the unit will be dispatched to its *Pmin* value.
- 4) Studies for fall, winter and spring may use different assumptions.

## 5.3. Net Scheduled Interchange

- Net scheduled interchange for the ATC system will be coordinated with the necessary regional and interregional parties.
- 2) Net scheduled interchange for the ATC system may be altered to evaluate realistic system conditions of significance for system planning purposes.

## 5.4. Dynamic Load Modeling

 The PTI PSSE power flow simulation software has Complex Load Modeling options, as a set of CLOD load models. The CLOD models have parameters for dynamic load

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Revision 4.0 of the guide is available for download at www.atcllc.com/customer-relations/connecting-to-thegrid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 30% of rated power was determined to be a typical hydro generation dispatch level based on internal review of hydro generation dispatch levels over the past four years (2008–2012) as documented in ATC's PI Historian data.

Page 10 of 19

- simulation. Their parameters include: % large motor, % small motor, % transformer excitation current, % discharge lighting, % constant power, and remaining load.
- 2) Based on literature review and heuristics, WPS/PTI developed a table for converting typical peak load splits of major customer classes to the CLOD load model parameters. ATC uses this table to create CLOD load models at transmission interconnection points from the load forecast and customer class information that is provided by the distribution companies. The table is given below.

Customer Class	Large Motor	Small Motor	Discharge Lighting	Transformer Excitation Current	Constant Power	Remaining Load	K <sub>p</sub> of Remaining Load
Residential	0%	64.4%	3.7%	1.0%	4.1%	26.8%	1.5
Agricultural	10/0%	45.0%	20.0%	1.0%	4.5%	19.5%	1.5
Commercial	0%	46.7%	41.5%	1.0%	4.5%	6.3%	1.5
Industrial	65.0%	15.0%	10.0%	1.0%	5.0%	4.0%	1.5

#### 6. FACILITY CONDITION METHODOLOGY

The facility condition criteria to be utilized by ATC for system planning purposes will include:

- 1) Any transmission line on structures that are beyond their design life, any transmission line that has exhibited below-average availability or any transmission line that has required above-average maintenance will be considered a candidate for replacement. In assessing potential line replacements, consideration will be given to other needs in the area of the candidate line to determine whether rebuilding the line to a higher voltage would fit into the "umbrella" plan for that planning zone (see Planning Zones below). ATC engineering, operations, maintenance, and environmental employees work together to coordinate such assessments.
- 2) Any substation bus that is beyond its design life, has exhibited below-average availability, or has required above-average maintenance will be considered a candidate for rebuilding and potential redesign. In assessing potential bus rebuilds, consideration will be given to likely and potential expansion at candidate substations, including consideration of the "umbrella" plan for the planning zone. ATC engineering, operations, maintenance, and environmental employees work together to coordinate such assessments.
- 3) Any substation whose design or configuration prevents maintenance in a safe manner on substation equipment or lines terminating at the substation will be considered a candidate for rebuilding and/or potential redesign/reconfiguration. In assessing such rebuilds/redesigns/reconfigurations, consideration will be given to likely and potential expansion at candidate substations, including consideration of the "umbrella" plan for the planning zone. ATC engineering, operations, maintenance, and environmental employees work together to coordinate such assessments.

Page 11 of 19

4) Any underground cable that is beyond its design life, has exhibited below-average availability, or has required above-average maintenance will be considered a candidate for replacement. In assessing potential cable replacements, consideration will be given to other needs in the area of the candidate cable to determine whether replacing the cable with a cable with a higher ampacity or with a cable capable of a higher voltage would fit into the "umbrella" plan for that planning zone. ATC engineering, operations, maintenance, and environmental employees work together to coordinate such assessments.

#### 7. PLANNING ZONES

ATC will conduct system planning on a long-range basis by developing plans for the ATC transmission system as a whole, as well as plans for specified zones within the boundaries of ATC's transmission system. The idea behind the zone approach to long-range planning is to develop plans that consider all of the needs/problems/developments within each zone. The goal within the ATC footprint is to develop an "umbrella" plan for each zone, that is, a plan that emphasizes projects that serve multiple purposes or solve multiple problems within the ATC system. The zone approach is intended to address requirements for support to the local distribution systems in that zone on a least cost basis. It is anticipated, however, that several projects that span more than one zone or possibly even the ATC transmission system boundaries may evolve. Such projects will likely involve coordination with other transmission owners or regional transmission organizations.

The planning zones deviate significantly from existing control area boundaries and from planning zones traditionally used for joint planning in conjunction with the Wisconsin PSC. The zones were selected considering the need for a manageable number of planning areas and to consolidate areas within the state with similar topology and load characteristics.

### 8. SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES

ATC may consider alternatives to transmission solutions to problems on the transmission system if needed. Such alternatives could include, but are not limited to, central station generation, distributed generation, load management and conservation measures. ATC will use sound judgment in assessing whether non-transmission solutions are applicable on a case-by-case basis, keeping in mind that ATC is not a vertically integrated utility and does not own generation or serve as a load serving entity for retail load.

#### 9. LOAD FORECASTING METHODOLOGY

ATC will initially use load forecasts provided by the company's end-use load-serving customers. Such customers are required, under ATC's Distribution-Transmission Interconnection Agreements and Network Operating Agreements, to provide ATC with monthly peak demand forecasts for the next ten years. ATC may, in the future, develop load forecasts either concurrent with or independent of the company's load-serving customers. In addition, ATC may, in coordination with the company's load-serving customers, develop representative load duration curves based on actual and normalized

Page 12 of 19

load conditions. The ATC methodology for developing, aggregating and maintaining load forecast information will follow the NERC Standards MOD-010-0 and MOD-011-0.

In utilizing or developing load forecasts, the following methodology will be used:

#### 9.1 Summer Peak

Summer peak demand forecasts will be calculated in such a way that there is an almost equal probability of exceeding or falling short of the forecast when average peak making weather does occur.

### 9.2 Shoulder peak

Shoulder peak demand forecasts will be developed such that the scalable loads are scaled to a pre-calculated percent of the summer peak demand forecasts while holding the non-scalable loads smaller than or equal to 5 MW constant and applying shoulder load ratios<sup>3</sup> for the non-scalable loads greater than 5 MW. The resultant overall ATC load in the Shoulder peak model is ~70 percent of the summer peak. The ratio of the real to reactive power of the loads will remain unchanged from the summer peak ratio.

#### 9.3 Winter Peak

Winter peak demand forecasts will be developed such that the scalable loads are scaled to Local Distribution Company (LDC) chosen percentages for the month of January. Non-scalable loads remain unchanged. The ratio of the real to reactive power of the loads will remain unchanged from the summer peak ratio.

## 9.4 Fall/spring Off-Peak

Fall/spring off-peak demand forecasts will be developed such that the scalable loads are scaled to LDC chosen percentages for the month of November for fall or the month of April for spring. Non-scalable loads smaller than or equal to 5 MW remain unchanged while applying shoulder load ratios<sup>1</sup> for the non-scalable loads greater than 5 MW. The ratio of the real to reactive power of the loads will remain unchanged from the summer peak ratio.

## 9.5 Summer 90/10 Proxy Peak

Summer 90/10 proxy peak demand forecasts will be developed that reflect above-average summer weather and peak demand conditions. A true summer 90/10 forecast will be calculated in such a way that there is a 90 percent probability of falling short of and a 10 percent probability of exceeding the forecast due to weather conditions. Until ATC develops the capability for producing a specific 90/10 forecast, we will assume that it can be reasonably approximated through increasing the summer peak conforming load forecast by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> To enhance the modeling of shoulder and light load conditions for the ATC Planning analysis, during the load forecast process, ATC requested local distribution companies to provide shoulder-to-peak ratios and light-to-peak ratios for the non-scalable loads greater than 5 MW.

Page 13 of 19

about 5 percent and leaving the non-scalable loads unchanged. The ratio of the real to reactive power of the loads will remain unchanged from the summer peak ratio.

## 9.6 Light Load (50 Percent of Summer Peak)

Light load (50 percent of summer peak) demand forecasts will be developed such that the conforming loads are scaled to a pre-calculated percent of the summer peak demand forecasts while holding the non-scalable loads smaller than or equal to 5 MW constant and applying light load ratios<sup>3</sup> for the non-scalable loads greater than 5 MW. The resultant overall ATC load in the Light load model is ~50% of the summer peak. The ratio of the real to reactive power of the loads will remain unchanged from the summer peak ratio.

## 9.7 Minimum Load (40 Percent of Summer Peak)

Minimum load (40 percent of summer peak) demand forecasts will be developed such that the conforming loads are scaled to a pre-calculated percent of the summer peak demand forecasts, while holding the non-scalable loads smaller than or equal to 5 MW constant and applying light load ratios<sup>3</sup> for the non-scalable loads greater than 5 MW. The resultant overall ATC load in the Minimum load model is ~40% of the summer peak. The ratio of the real to reactive power of the loads will remain unchanged from the summer peak ratio.

#### 10. ECONOMIC METHODOLOGY

ATC will conduct appropriate economic analyses when evaluating transmission additions, replacements and modifications. The criteria to be used in such economic analyses for purposes of system planning will include the following:

- 1) In developing screening level capital cost estimates for transmission lines and substations, terrain, geology and land use will be considered.
- 2) In conducting the economic analysis of changes in transmission system losses, hourly line flow data and associated area Locational Marginal Prices (LMPs) for the entire analysis year from PROMOD will be used to analyze the potential savings from reduced transmission line losses associated with a new project (or package of projects).
- 3) The reduction in the need to build additional generation to serve the peak load will be calculated by comparing the losses from the power flows for the peak load hour with and without the project. To correctly do the accounting, the reduction in the generation needed to serve the peak load will be increased by the MISO's planning reserve margin. The dollar value of this savings will be based on the construction cost of a combustion turbine.
- 4) The LMP market simulation tool, PROMOD, will be the primary tool used to analyze the economics of projects in the energy market. ATC's Customer Benefit Metric will typically be used to analyze the energy market savings of projects. Generally PROMOD will be run with and without the project, or package of projects, to determine the energy market savings. Other energy market economic benefits may also be calculated, such as the "insurance benefit" associated with having a more robust transmission grid to respond

Page 14 of 19

- to low probability, but high impact transmission and generation outages, which can cause energy market prices and costs to spike.
- 5) All transmission projects have both reliability and energy market economic impacts. In certain cases, energy market economic benefits may be the primary driver of a project. In addition, energy market economic analysis of projects may be used in the prioritization and staging of projects. In this effort, an attempt is made to capture all relevant factors in determining the energy market economic benefits of a project. Stakeholder input is utilized by ATC for this purpose. Various tools are also utilized by ATC, including the Ventyx PROMOD software; however, other methods and tools are open to consideration.

#### 11. ENVIRONMENTAL METHODOLOGY

The overriding environmental criterion to be used by ATC in system planning is that environmental analyses will be conducted at a screening level as opposed to a detailed siting/routing analysis level. The goal of such environmental analyses is to identify potential environmental impacts and avoid such impacts where possible. Where it is not possible to avoid such impacts, ATC will minimize and mitigate such impacts to the extent possible. More detailed analyses will be undertaken to support an application to siting authorities of specific transmission alternatives.

#### 12. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

## 12.1. Project Constructability

ATC will consider the constructability of proposed additions, replacements or modifications to the transmission system as part of the company's system planning process. In particular ATC will consider:

- 1) Whether addition, replacement or modification of a transmission line, transformer or other facility would result in violation of the System Performance Criteria, and
- Whether addition, replacement or modification of a transmission line, transformer or other facility precludes the ability of ATC Operations to conduct maintenance activities on other transmission facilities.

## 12.2. Multiple Contingency Planning

There may be circumstances, where the risk to ATC and/or ATC customers of a multiple contingency event is sufficiently severe to warrant consideration for planning purposes. Examples of such an event would include:

- 1) The loss of a transmission facility during the period of maintenance or repair of another transmission facility
- 2) A multiple contingency arising from a common cause, such as a fire, flood, lightning etc., and/or a highly probable multiple contingency based on historical observance where studies indicate that there is potential for Adverse Reliability Impact
- 3) Failure of a transmission structure supporting multiple circuits

Page 15 of 19

4) The loss of two transformers that are connected through a common breaker ATC will consider the relative probability and consequences of certain selected multiple contingency scenarios to determine whether to apply a multiple contingency standard.

For more details regarding ATC's multiple outage selection process, please refer to **Multiple Outage Selection Process** PR-0212-V03 and **Detailed Multiple Outage Analysis Process** PR-0213-V02.

Generator transient stability will be evaluated for at least one key contingency for two types of NERC Category D contingencies. These contingencies are three-phase faults on a transmission line with delayed clearing due to breaker failure (D2) and three-phase faults on a transmission transformer with delayed clearing due to breaker failure (D3).

Such multiple contingency scenarios may warrant consideration of operating guides or reinforcements. In these circumstances, ATC will document the potential event(s), the associated risks and potential mitigation measures, and will coordinate with affected customers, as appropriate.

(Applicable NERC Standard: TPL-003-1, TPL-004-1)

## 12.3. Terminal Equipment Limitations

Substation terminal equipment should not limit transmission facility ratings under NERC Category A or NERC Category B contingency conditions. This criterion would apply to new transmission facilities and should be reviewed when proposing modifications to existing facilities.

## 12.4. Maximization of Existing Rights-of-Way

ATC will attempt to maximize use of existing rights-of-ways. Existing electric transmission, gas pipeline, railroad and highway corridors will be identified in all comparisons of alternatives and utilized where feasible. Environmental features of a right-of-way are also important to ATC operations. Environmental assessments are built into planning at a high level, and are continued into project assessments as projects move forward through to construction. In addition to avoiding and protecting environmentally sensitive areas, ATC is committed to working in partnership with regulators, environmental organizations and landowners to enhance areas of environmental significance.

## 12.5. Reduction of Transmission System Losses

ATC considers the benefit of reducing system losses along with other performance benefits and cost factors in evaluations of alternative transmission projects or plans. See Section 10, Economic Methodology.

## 12.6. Transmission System Operating Considerations in the Planning Process

### 12.6.1 Operating Guides

Operating guides are not preferred under normal conditions, but may be employed by ATC and/or entities with generation and/or distribution facilities interconnected with the ATC transmission system to avoid transmission facility loadings in excess of normal and emergency ratings provided such guides are practical for sustained periods, if they meet the following conditions:

- 1) Do not compromise personnel or public safety
- 2) Do not degrade system reliability
- 3) Do not result in a significant loss of equipment life or significant risk of damage to a transmission facility.
- 4) Do not unduly burden any entity financially.
- 5) Supervisory switching capability is available to accomplish these operating guides. Field switching will not be relied upon as a means to reduce facility loadings or to restore voltages to within acceptable levels.
- 6) ATC will strive to verify the efficacy of all operating guides that require on-site operations.

## 12.6.2 Operational Flexibility

System Planning - ATC will strive to plan the transmission system such that operating flexibility is maximized. ATC will accomplish this by considering as wide a variety of scenarios as practical, including maintenance scenarios, when evaluating alternative transmission projects or plans.

## 12.6.3 Special Protection Systems (SPSs)

Special protection systems (SPSs) are not preferred means of mitigating system limitations, but may be employed by ATC as temporary measures and are not normally considered a long term solution. Proposal of a new SPS may require ATC executive approval via the Asset Investment Management (AIM) process prior to becoming a formal alternative proposed by System Planning.

#### 12.7. Radial Transmission Service

ATC will evaluate the risk of serving customer load from radial facilities. Such evaluations will consider the amount of load being served, the capability of the underlying distribution system and the amount of time that service is likely to be interrupted for the loss/failure of the radial facility.

#### 12.8. Relaxation of Criteria

At times it may be appropriate to consider a relaxation of ATC-specific criteria, as long as NERC and Regional Entity (RE) standards are still satisfied. As system planners perform

their work, they should evaluate when it may be appropriate to allow a relaxation of ATC-specific criteria. A decision to relax ATC-specific criteria should be made very carefully considering all of the issues involved (including - but not limited to – Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) and RE requirements and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) directives related to transmission service requirements) and then only after performing a detailed assessment of the types and levels of risks involved in the decision. Planners are not permitted to relax ATC-specific criteria on their own. Instead, these situations should be identified and discussed with their manager for further evaluation. The final decision in this regard will be made by the Vice President of System Planning. If any decisions of this type are made, then these decisions will be documented and archived for future reference.

## 12.9. Steady State Voltage Stability Margin Identification

The steady state operating point will be identified by finding the nose of the P-V curve and applying the required 10% margin. If a P-V curve nose is not identifiable (no power flow solutions beyond the nose of the curve), then the last solved point prior to the nose will be used as the P-V curve nose. A pre-contingency margin of more than 10% will be identified, if needed, to avoid allowing a steady state operating point beyond the nose of the curve immediately following the worst case Category B or C contingency. ATC prefers the use of Powertech's Voltage Security Assessment Tool (VSAT) to perform steady state voltage stability analysis.

## 12.10. Operations Assumptions for Three Phase Fault Scenarios

The ATC Operations Department performs an operating horizon assessment taking into account operating horizon assumptions that may differ from the planning horizon assessment for certain three phase fault scenarios which are documented in certain ATC Transmission Operating Procedures (TOP). The operating procedures reference any special circumstances in the planning studies and assessments and apply real time risk methodologies as outlined in the TOP procedures. (Note: There may be other potential ATC System Operations planning tasks that may interface with transmission planning tasks).

#### 13 INTERCONNECTION STUDIES

The following analyses and procedures should be performed for all new or modified interconnection facilities (generation, transmission, and end-user) to the ATC system to properly assess their reliability impact on the interconnected systems. For some analyses, a formal study report may be appropriate. For other analyses, a simple statement of assumptions and rationale may be sufficient.

#### 13.1 Types of Analysis

The analyses are to include steady state, short-circuit, and dynamic assessments that include the requirements in TPL-001-1, TPL-002-1, TPL-003-1, and TPL-004-1.

## 13.2 Compliance with Applicable Planning Criteria

The analyses and procedures are to comply with all applicable NERC, Regional Entity, and individual system planning criteria of the affected parties.

#### 13.3 Coordination with Affected Entities

The results of the analyses will be jointly evaluated and coordinated by the affected entities.

#### 13.4 Essential Documentation

All analyses should include the evaluation assumptions, system performance, alternatives considered, and any jointly coordinated recommendations.

## 13.5 Specific Study Methodologies

Generator Interconnection studies will follow the study guidelines as described in the MISO Business Practice Manual for Generator Interconnections.

## 14 UNDER-FREQUENCY LOAD SHEDDING (UFLS)

The UFLS Program performance assessments include, but are not limited to the following practices:

- 1) Are performed at least once every five years for each identified island
- 2) Are based on the most recent UFLS Program data that is collected annually from the Distribution Providers connected to the ATC system
- 3) Are based on the most recent under-frequency and over-frequency settings provided by Generation Owners connected to the ATC system, otherwise the setting limits given in the NERC PRC-025 Reliability Standard are assumed to apply
- 4) Consider generation-load imbalance scenarios up to 25 percent within the identified island [per the NERC PRC-006 Reliability Standard]
- 5) Use the Equivalent Inertia method for the frequency performance evaluation
- 6) Use the assumptions of aggregate inertia range of 3.3 to 4.7, generator governor droop range from 12% to 18%, and load damping range of 1.0% to 2.0% in the Equivalent Inertia simulations
- 7) Use the PSSE Dynamic Module method for the volts per hertz evaluation
- 8) Use complex load modeling in the PSSE Dynamic Module simulations.

The Capacitor Bank Coordination assessments include, but are not limited to the following practices:

- 1) Are performed at least once every five years for each identified island.
- 2) Are based on the most recent UFLS Program data that is collected annually from the Distribution Providers connected to the ATC system.

Page 19 of 19

- 3) Are based on the most recent under-frequency and over-frequency settings provided by Generation Owners connected to the ATC system, otherwise the setting limits given in the NERC PRC-025 Reliability Standard are assumed to apply.
- 4) Are based on the most recent over-voltage and under-frequency settings of shunt reactive power devices that are provided by ATC System Protection.
- 5) Consider generation-load imbalance scenarios up to 25 percent within the identified island [per the NERC PRC-006 Reliability Standard].
- 6) Use the PSSE Dynamic Module method for the voltage response evaluation.
- 7) Use complex load modeling in the PSSE Dynamic Module simulations.

#### 15. REFERENCES

None

#### 16. ADMINISTRATION

#### 16.1 Review

This document will be reviewed annually.

#### 16.2 Retention

The previous version of this document will be retained for at least five years after is becomes retired.

### 17. REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Author(s)	Manager(s)	V.P.(s)	Summary of Changes
14	Connie Lunde, et alia	David Smith, Paul Walter	Ron Snead	Primary – split Criteria and Practices into separate documents, moved Operations fault assumptions text; Details – Summary of Planning Criteria V14 and Practices V1 Revisions document
15	Shane Ehster, et alia	David Smith, Paul Walter	Ron Snead	Primary – added sections for dynamic load modeling and UFLS analysis, added hydro generation dispatch methodology and SPS language in the Operating Considerations section, moved Variations on ATC Planning Criteria section to Planning Criteria, added language regarding the analysis of Category D stability simulations, removed specific references to internal ATC guides and procedures